

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/769,588	HOFFBECK, LOREN JOHN	
	Examiner Ashwin Mehta	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:

(1) Ashwin Mehta.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(2) Lila Akrad.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed amending the deposit statement on page 71 of the specification so that it mentions that the inbred parents of maize hybrid 35D28 will also be deposited with the ATCC. Applicant approved.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)